ECON 626: Applied Microeconomics

Lecture 2:

Regression Basics

Professors: Pamela Jakiela and Owen Ozier

Department of Economics
University of Maryland, College Park

Linear Algebra (quick review)

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} =$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4]$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11]$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} =$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication

$$k \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot a_1 \\ k \cdot a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 4] = [11] = 11$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} =$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a = & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & b = \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ c = & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ 0 & d = \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{D_{22}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{D_{22}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplication, continued

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we want $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to be the **inverse** of $\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.
That is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11}a & D_{11}b \\ D_{22}c & D_{22}d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Four (simple) equations, four unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{D_{11}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{D_{22}} \end{bmatrix}$$

So inverting a diagonal matrix is easy. A general formula?

Inverses (2x2 case)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Inverses (2x2 case)

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{ad - bc}_{\text{determinant}}} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \ AB = ?$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ } AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ } AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \quad \\ \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ AB} = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ AB} = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ } AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BA =$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \ AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BA = \text{ ``conformability error''}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \ AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

BA = "conformability error" but B'A' =

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \; AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

BA = "conformability error" but B'A' =

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} =$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \; AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BA = \text{ ``conformability error'' but } B'A' =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \quad & \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \; AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BA = \text{ "conformability error" but } B'A' =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \; AB = ?$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

BA = "conformability error" but B'A' =

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

Transpose

Let A $= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$. Let B $= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. AB = ?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

BA = "conformability error" but B'A' =

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, B'A' =(AB)'. (Note: A' is sometimes written A^T.)

Regression

Recall the basic regression (estimation) formula

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Recall the basic regression (estimation) formula

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

But what is $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$? What does $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'$ do to $\boldsymbol{y}$?

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on...

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [\qquad]$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \;\; 1]$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \;\; 1]$$

Suppose that half of N observations have $D_i = 1$ and half have $D_i = 0$.

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \;\; 1]$$

Suppose that half of N observations have $D_i = 1$ and half have $D_i = 0$.

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 1 \\ D_2 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+1} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+2} & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_N & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \;\; 1]$$

Suppose that half of N observations have $D_i = 1$ and half have $D_i = 0$.

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 1 \\ D_2 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+1} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+2} & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_N & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \ \ 1]$$

Suppose that half of N observations have $D_i = 1$ and half have $D_i = 0$.

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 1 \\ D_2 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+1} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+2} & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_N & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \ \ 1]$$

Suppose that half of N observations have $D_i = 1$ and half have $D_i = 0$.

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 1 \\ D_2 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+1} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+2} & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_N & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \ ; \ \boldsymbol{Y} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Suppose that we are interested in the relationship between outcome Y_i and a treatment indicator D_i. Regress the outcome on... the treatment indicator and a constant.

$$X_i = [D_i \;\; 1]$$

Suppose that half of N observations have $D_i = 1$ and half have $D_i = 0$.

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 1 \\ D_2 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+1} & 1 \\ D_{\frac{N}{2}+2} & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ D_N & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \; ; \; \boldsymbol{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ ... \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ ... \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ & \\ \frac{N}{2} & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall that we're after

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Set up $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc}\begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Easy way:

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Easy way:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix} = \frac{N}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}} \begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Easy way:

$$\left(\frac{N}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc}\begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2} - \frac{N^2}{4}}\begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Easy way:

$$\left(\frac{N}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc}\begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2}-\frac{N^2}{4}}\begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Easy way:

$$\left(\frac{N}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc}\begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

So:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} & N \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{N^2}{2}-\frac{N^2}{4}}\begin{bmatrix} N & -\frac{N}{2} \\ -\frac{N}{2} & \frac{N}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Easy way:

$$\left(\frac{N}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ ... \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ ... \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ ... \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ ... \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \dots \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ \dots \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ ... \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ ... \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} Y_i \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \dots \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ \dots \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} Y_i \\ \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

Recall we wanted to find: $\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$. What about $\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \dots \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+1} \\ Y_{\frac{N}{2}+2} \\ \dots \\ Y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} Y_i \\ \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T} Y_i \\ \sum\limits_{T} Y_i + \sum\limits_{C} Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum\limits_T Y_i + \sum\limits_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum\limits_T Y_i - \sum\limits_T Y_i - \sum\limits_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum\limits_T Y_i + \sum\limits_T Y_i + \sum\limits_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \quad \\ \\ \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} =$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

We just ran a regression.

Matrices' easy interpretation in "treatment" context

We can now compute:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i \\ \\ \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2\sum_T Y_i - \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ -\sum_T Y_i + \sum_T Y_i + \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T Y_i - \sum_C Y_i \\ \\ \sum_C Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

We just ran a regression. Now, on to the standard error!
What will the dimensions of the variance-covariance matrix be?

Homoskedastic error

Recall the basic regression (estimation) formula

What is the variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?
First, re-write $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

Recall the basic regression (estimation) formula

What is the variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?
First, re-write $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{e}$$

Recall the basic regression (estimation) formula

What is the variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?
First, re-write $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{e}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} \quad = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{e})$$

Recall the basic regression (estimation) formula

What is the variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y}$?
First, re-write $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$:

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{e}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{y} \quad &= (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{e}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e} \end{aligned}$$

Structure of the error term, homoskedasticity

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ \textit{with}\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{0}\ (\textit{assumption ii p.73})$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ \textit{with}\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (\textit{mechanically})$$

Structure of the error term, homoskedasticity

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73)$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

Structure of the error term, homoskedasticity

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73)$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

Under homoskedasticity, $\sigma_i^2 \equiv \sigma^2\ \forall i$. Thus,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

Structure of the error term, homoskedasticity

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} & (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73) \\
\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i & (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)
\end{array}
$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

Under homoskedasticity, $\sigma_i^2 \equiv \sigma^2\ \forall i$. Thus,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

A reasonable estimator, $\hat{\sigma}^2$, for σ^2:

Structure of the error term, homoskedasticity

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73)$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

Under homoskedasticity, $\sigma_i^2 \equiv \sigma^2\ \forall i$. Thus,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

A reasonable estimator, $\hat{\sigma}^2$, for σ^2: $\frac{1}{N-K} \sum_N u_i^2$

Structure of the error term, homoskedasticity

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73)$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

Under homoskedasticity, $\sigma_i^2 \equiv \sigma^2\ \forall i$. Thus,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

A reasonable estimator, $\hat{\sigma}^2$, for σ^2: $\frac{1}{N-K}\sum_N u_i^2 = \frac{1}{N-K}\left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$.
(In this example, $K = 2$.)

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)' \\
(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \\
(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)
\end{gathered}
$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right) \ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\hat{\sigma}^2$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \left(\frac{2}{N(N-K)}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$\frac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \left(\frac{2}{N(N-K)}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

We have an estimator for the basic variance-covariance matrix under homoskedasticity.

The variance-covariance matrix

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Under homoskedasticity, our estimate, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}$.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\hat{\sigma}^2$$
$$\tfrac{2}{N}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \left(\tfrac{2}{N(N-K)}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

We have an estimator for the basic variance-covariance matrix under homoskedasticity. What about heteroskedasticity?

Heteroskedasticity

Structure of the error term, revisited

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ \textit{with}\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \mathbf{0}\ (\textit{assumption ii p.73})$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ \textit{with}\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (\textit{mechanically})$$

Structure of the error term, revisited

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \mathbf{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73)$$
$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1}\sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

Structure of the error term, revisited

Ways of writing second term, $(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u} \qquad (CT\ 4.11)\ with\ E[\boldsymbol{u}|\boldsymbol{X}] = \mathbf{0}\ (assumption\ ii\ p.73)$$

$$\left[\sum X_i X_i'\right]^{-1} \sum X_i e_i \qquad (AP\ p.45)\ with\ E[X_i e_i] = 0\ (mechanically)$$

Before proceeding to estimate variance, independent observations (CT p.73 assumption ii) (assumptions and implication):

$$E[\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{u}'|\boldsymbol{X}] = \boldsymbol{\Omega} = Diag[\sigma_i^2]$$

So a reasonable estimator:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = Diag[\hat{u}_i^2]\ (CT\ notation)\ = Diag[\hat{e}_i^2]\ (AP\ notation)$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$
$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right) \; \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)'$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\;\boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
& & \big((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\big)\ \big((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\big)' \\
& & (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \\
& & (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21) \\
& = & \left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1} \sum \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_i{}^2 \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i' \left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)
\end{array}
$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)' \\
&(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \\
&(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21) \\
= \ &\left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1}\sum \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_i{}^2\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that AP 3.1.7 is written in expectations, in a formulation that leads to the variance of $\sqrt{N} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, just as CT 4.17 does:

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)' \\
& (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \\
& (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21) \\
= \ & \left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1}\sum \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_i{}^2\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that AP 3.1.7 is written in expectations, in a formulation that leads to the variance of $\sqrt{N}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, just as CT 4.17 does:

$$(\textit{notation swap}) \quad E[\boldsymbol{X}_i\boldsymbol{X}_i']^{-1}E[\boldsymbol{X}_i\boldsymbol{X}_i'e_i^2]E[\boldsymbol{X}_i\boldsymbol{X}_i']^{-1} \quad (AP\ 3.1.7)$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

For the variance, we write this quadratic form of the estimation error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)\ \left((\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\right)' \\
& (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{u}\ \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \\
& (\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21) \\
= \ & \left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1}\sum \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_i{}^2\boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\left(\sum \boldsymbol{x}_i\boldsymbol{x}_i'\right)^{-1} \qquad (CT\ 4.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that AP 3.1.7 is written in expectations, in a formulation that leads to the variance of $\sqrt{N}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, just as CT 4.17 does:

$$(notation\ swap) \quad E[\boldsymbol{X}_i\boldsymbol{X}_i']^{-1}E[\boldsymbol{X}_i\boldsymbol{X}_i'e_i^2]E[\boldsymbol{X}_i\boldsymbol{X}_i']^{-1} \quad (AP\ 3.1.7)$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right] Diag[\hat{u}_i^2]$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} Diag[\hat{u}_i^2] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ ... & ... \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

My big fat greek … diagonal matrix

$$\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{u}_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & ... & 1 & 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ ... & ... \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ ... & ... \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \quad & \quad \\ \quad & \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix} =$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ \sum_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \ldots & \ldots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum\limits_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ \sum\limits_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \hat{u}_1^2 \\ 0 & \hat{u}_2^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+1}^2 \\ \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 & \hat{u}_{\frac{N}{2}+2}^2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ \hat{u}_N^2 & \hat{u}_N^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum\limits_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \\ \sum\limits_{i=\frac{N}{2}+1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 + \sum\limits_{C} \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T} \hat{u}^2 + \sum\limits_{C} \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \quad & \quad \\ \quad & \quad \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum\limits_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 & \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum\limits_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum\limits_C \hat{u}^2 \\ & \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \quad & \quad \\ \quad & \quad \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ 0 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 & \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2 \\ \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2+\sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2-\sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum\limits_{T}\hat{u}^2+\sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 & -\sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 \\ -\sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 & \sum\limits_{C}\hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why heteroskedasticity?

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\hat{\Omega}\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \quad (CT\ 4.21)$$

$$\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 \\ \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{2}{N}\right)\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 & \sum_T \hat{u}^2 - \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ 0 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{4}{N^2}\right)\begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

CT p.75: DOF correction w/ empirical (not theoretical) basis, N/(N-K)

All formulas together, before plugging in K.

All formulas together, before plugging in K.

Estimated coefficients:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix}$$

All formulas together, before plugging in K.

Estimated coefficients:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated VCV matrix under homoskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{2}{N(N-K)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

All formulas together, before plugging in K.

Estimated coefficients:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated VCV matrix under homoskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{2}{N(N-K)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

Estimated VCV matrix under heteroskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{4}{N(N-K)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

All formulas together.

Estimated coefficients:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated VCV matrix under homoskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{2}{N(N-2)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

Estimated VCV matrix under heteroskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{4}{N(N-2)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ -\sum_C \hat{u}^2 & \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

General case, treating fraction p.

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X}) = N \begin{bmatrix} p & p \\ p & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1} = \frac{1}{p(1-p)N} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -p \\ -p & p \end{bmatrix}$$

All formulas together, general case.

Estimated coefficients:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C \\ \\ \bar{Y}_C \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimated VCV matrix under homoskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{1}{p(1-p)N(N-2)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -p \\ -p & p \end{bmatrix} \left(\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2\right)$$

Estimated VCV matrix under heteroskedasticity:

$$\left(\frac{1}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} (1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & -p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \\ \\ -p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2 & p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)}$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)}$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{p(1-p)N}\right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)}$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{pN} + \frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)}$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{pN} + \frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)} =$$

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2}{p^2 N(N-2)} + \frac{\sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(1-p)^2 N(N-2)}$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{pN} + \frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)} =$$

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2}{p(N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{pN} \right) + \frac{\sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(1-p)(N-2)} \left(\frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \underbrace{\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)}}_{\textit{variance}} \left(\frac{1}{pN} + \frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)} =$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2}{p(N-2)}}_{\textit{variance}} \left(\frac{1}{pN} \right) + \underbrace{\frac{\sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(1-p)(N-2)}}_{\textit{variance}} \left(\frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \underbrace{\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)}}_{variance} \left(\frac{1}{pN} \underbrace{+}_{difference\ \ of} \frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)} =$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2}{p(N-2)}}_{variance} \left(\frac{1}{pN} \right) \underbrace{+}_{difference\ \ of} \underbrace{\frac{\sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(1-p)(N-2)}}_{variance} \left(\frac{1}{(1-p)N} \right)$$

Focus on the coefficient on treatment.

Estimated coefficient: $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_T - \bar{Y}_C$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under homoskedasticity:

$$\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p(1-p)N(N-2)} = \underbrace{\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2 + \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(N-2)}}_{\textit{variance}} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{pN}}_{\textit{averages}} \underbrace{+}_{\textit{difference of}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(1-p)N}}_{\textit{averages}} \right)$$

Estimated variance of $\hat{\beta}_1$ under heteroskedasticity:

$$\frac{(1-p)^2 \sum_T \hat{u}^2 + p^2 \sum_C \hat{u}^2}{p^2(1-p)^2 N(N-2)} =$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\sum_T \hat{u}^2}{p(N-2)}}_{\textit{variance}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{pN}\right)}_{\textit{averages}} \underbrace{+}_{\textit{difference of}} \underbrace{\frac{\sum_C \hat{u}^2}{(1-p)(N-2)}}_{\textit{variance}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{(1-p)N}\right)}_{\textit{averages}}$$