

ECON 626: Applied Microeconomics

Lecture 12: Conditional Logit

Professors: Pamela Jakiela and Owen Ozier

Department of Economics
University of Maryland, College Park

The Logit Model: Binary Choice Edition

A standard latent variable model of a binary outcome, y :

$$y^* = X'\beta + \varepsilon \text{ and } y = 1 [y^* > 0]$$

For any symmetric distribution of ε , we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[y = 1|X] &= \Pr[y^* > 0|X] \\ &= \Pr[\varepsilon > -X'\beta|X] \\ &= 1 - F(-X'\beta) \\ &= F(X'\beta) \end{aligned}$$

When ε has a standard logistic distribution:

$$F(X'\beta) = \frac{e^{X'\beta}}{1 + e^{X'\beta}}$$

The Logit Model: Binary Choice Edition

Translates into the likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\beta) &= [F(X'\beta)]^y [1 - F(X'\beta)]^{1-y} \\ \ell(\beta) &= y \ln [F(X'\beta)] + (1 - y) \ln [1 - F(X'\beta)]\end{aligned}$$

Possible interpretations:

- X indicates the characteristics of people/units
- X indicates the difference(s) in attributes between alternatives

Logit model can be extended to cases with $J > 2$ outcomes

The Additive Random Utility Model

In an additive random utility model, realized utility is the sum of the modeled component ("representative utility") and a random component:

$$U_{nj} = V_{nj} + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

where V_{nj} is often assumed to be $X'\beta$, ε_{nj} are IID

We assume that the highest utility alternative is chosen:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{nj} &= \Pr[V_{nj} + \varepsilon_{nj} > V_{nk} + \varepsilon_{nk} \forall k \neq j] \\ &= \Pr[\varepsilon_{nj} > V_{nk} - V_{nj} + \varepsilon_{nk} \forall k \neq j] \\ &\dots \\ &= \frac{e^{V_{nj}}}{\sum_{k \in J} e^{V_{nk}}}\end{aligned}$$

whenever ε_{nj} is EV1-distributed

The Additive Random Utility Model

Translates into the log-likelihood function:

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \sum_j z_{nj} \cdot \ln(P_{nj})$$

where

- z_{nj} is an indicator equal to one if n chooses option j
- P_{nj} is the probability of choosing alternative j

Representative Utility

A standard formulation of utility: $V_{nj} = X'_{nj}\beta$

- Example 1: choosing a vacation destination
 - ▶ Utility is defined over attributes: Beach? Pool? Nightlife? Spa?
 - ▶ When all utility parameters reflect valuation of specific attributes, assumption of linear representative utility is essentially costless
- Example 2: deciding how to get to work
 - ▶ Utility is defined over money, time, plus other attributes
 - ▶ Assumption of (approximately) linear utility over some amounts of money (or time) is standard in some circles, less accepted in others
 - ▶ **Including price as one of the attributes allows the researcher to put dollar values on the utility derived from other attributes**

Representative Utility

Linear representative utility is not a requirement

- Example 3: deciding how to get to work, revisited
 - ▶ Train and McFadden (1978) assume $V = (1 - \beta) \ln G + \beta \ln L$
 - ▶ $G = w \cdot h$ is consumption of a numeraire good
 - ▶ $L = 24 - h$ is leisure time
 - ▶ Commuting by car, bus takes time and costs money; consumers choose optimal amount of labor conditional on mode of transport
 - ▶ Implies: $V_{nj} \propto -[(c_j/w^\beta) + w^{1-\beta} t_j]$
- Example 4: choosing between risky lotteries
 - ▶ Von Gaudecker *et al* (2011) assume V_{nj} takes CARA form

The Scale Parameter

Utility of alternative $j \in J$ is given by:

$$U_{nj} = V_{nj} + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

When ε_{nj} is EV1-distributed, the choice probabilities are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{nj} &= \Pr[\varepsilon_{nj} > V_{nk} - V_{nj} + \varepsilon_{nk} \forall k \neq j] \\ &= \frac{e^{V_{nj}}}{\sum_{k \in J} e^{V_{nk}}} \end{aligned}$$

Why? Magical algebra. Critically, the CDF of the standard EV1 is

$$F(\varepsilon_{nj}) = e^{-e^{-\varepsilon_{nj}}}$$

The difference of two EV1-distributed variables has a logistic distribution

The Scale Parameter

Variance of random component of utility (relative to magnitude/scale of representative utility) indicates importance of noise in decision-making

- Variance of a standard EV1-distributed variable: $\pi^2/6$
 - ▶ Obviously no reason to assume this is variance of ε_{nj}
- A more “true” model of utility:

$$U_{nj} = V_{nj}/\sigma_\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

where variance of ε_{nj} is normalized to $\pi^2/6$

- With linear representative utility linear, β , σ not separately identified:

$$U_{nj} = X' \beta / \sigma_\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{nj} \Rightarrow P_{nj} = \frac{e^{X'_{nj}(\beta/\sigma)}}{\sum_{k \in J} e^{X'_{nk}(\beta/\sigma)}}$$

Does this matter? Utility is robust to positive, affine transformations.

The Scale Parameter

Magnitude of structural parameters is **relative** to random error term

- True interpretation of estimated parameters is β/σ

Caveat 1: we may wish to estimate differences in error variance

- Example: literacy/ability, attribute salience

Caveat 2: variance of random component of utility is (typically) identified when representative utility is non-linear (e.g. labor/leisure tradeoffs)

- “Identification based on functional form assumptions”
 - ▶ Are your simplifying assumptions driving your results?
 - ▶ Are your results robust to other specifications?

Likelihood of choosing “randomly” is often of inherent interest

Estimation in Stata

Example: choosing a vacation destination

Destination	Cost	Travel Time	Beach?	Warm?
Annapolis	\$1000	1 hour	Yes	No
Bahamas	\$8000	4 hours	Yes	Yes
Costa Rica	\$4000	8 hours	Yes	Yes
Durango	\$2000	6 hours	No	Yes

You observe a data set on the destination choices of 1000 people

- Need to observe the full menu of choices

Estimation in Stata

Specify the utility function:

$$U_{nj} = -\alpha Price_{nj} - \beta TravelTime_j + \gamma Warm_j + \delta Beach_j + \lambda Warm \times Beach_j$$

Preference parameters are NOT heterogeneous across individuals:

- Tastes can only vary with observable characteristics
 - ▶ $Price_{nj}$ depends on number of people traveling
 - ▶ Could also interact price with household income

Estimation in Stata

Estimation in Stata uses `asclogit` command

- Data set needs to be at the **alternative** level

```
asclogit chosen price time warm beach warmxbeach, ///  
case(id) alternative(destination) noconstant altwise
```

where:

- `case()` indicates the decision situation
- `alternative()` indicates the alternative (a unique # within case)
- `noconstant` means do not include alternative-specific constants
- `altwise` means drop alternatives, not entire decision situations

The Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives

The relative odds of choosing alternative j over alternative i :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{P_{nj}}{P_{ni}} &= \frac{e^{V_{nj}} / \sum_{k \in J} e^{V_{nk}}}{e^{V_{ni}} / \sum_{k \in J} e^{V_{nk}}} \\ &= \frac{e^{V_{nj}}}{e^{V_{ni}}} \\ &= e^{V_{nj} - V_{ni}}\end{aligned}$$

Odds do not depend on the other elements of the choice set

- Luce (1959) argues that IIA is critical to correct choice probabilities

Of Red Buses and Blue Buses

Suppose a commuter faces a choice between driving, taking the (red) bus

- At the outset, she is two times more likely to drive: $P_{car}/P_{rb} = 2$
- (So, $P_{car} = \frac{2}{3}$ and $P_{rb} = \frac{1}{3}$)

Now suppose that her local transit authority introduces a third option — a blue bus — that is exactly identical to the red bus in every way

- By construction: $V_{rb} = V_{bb}$, so $P_{rb} = P_{bb}$

How should the probability of taking the red bus change?

- Common sense prediction: $P_{car} = \frac{2}{3}$ and $P_{rb} = P_{bb} = \frac{1}{6}$
- Logit prediction: $P_{car} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $P_{rb} = P_{bb} = \frac{1}{4}$

More flexible model can accommodate different substitution patterns

Mixed Logit

In a mixed logit model, choice probabilities takes the form:

$$P_{nj} = \int \left(\frac{e^{V_{nj}(\beta)}}{\sum_{k \in J} e^{V_{nk}(\beta)}} \right) f(\beta) d\beta$$

where $f(\beta)$ is the density of the (unobserved) utility parameter, β

Three examples:

- Random component of utility correlated across alternatives (e.g. correlation between likelihood of choosing red vs. blue bus)
- Random coefficients
- Latent class (i.e. finite mixture) models

Random Coefficients Logit

Utility parameters vary across individuals:

$$U_{nj} = X'_{nj}\beta_n + \varepsilon_{nj}$$

where $\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Choice probabilities are given by:

$$P_{nj} = \int \left(\frac{e^{X'_{nj}\beta}}{\sum_{k \in J} e^{X'_{nj}\beta}} \right) \phi(\beta | \mu, \sigma^2) d\beta$$

The choice probabilities don't depend on β

- μ, σ are the parameters to be estimated
- Assumption of normality can be replaced with any other distribution

Mixed Logit Estimation

Estimation of mixed logit is done via simulated log likelihood

$$SLL_n = \sum_j z_{nj} \cdot \ln(\tilde{P}_{nj})$$

where \tilde{P}_{nj} is averaged over R random draws from $f(\beta)$

$$\tilde{P}_{nj} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{e^{X'_{nj}\beta^r}}{\sum_{k \in J} e^{X'_{nj}\beta^r}}$$

Simulation proceeds in three intuitive steps:

- Take R draws from (say) a standard normal
 - ▶ Any distribution is possible (e.g. multivariate normal w/ correlation)
- At each step, scale using current values of parameters (e.g. μ, σ)
- Calculate simulated log-likelihood

Mixed Logit Estimation in Stata

Estimation in Stata uses `mixlogit` command

- Same data structure as conditional logit

```
mixlogit chosen warm beach warmxbeach, ///  
group(id) rand(price time) ln(0)
```

Results and interpretation:

- `rand()` indicates variables associated with random coefficients
- `ln()` indicates that the last # are log-normally distributed
- Stata reports means, standard deviations, and associated standard errors for all variables associated with random coefficients